UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,472	12/12/2003	Marc F. Charette	JJJ-P02-510 9598		
28120 FIGH & NEAN	7590 03/26/2007 VE ID GPOLID		EXAMINER		
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP			WANG, CHANG YU		
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200101,111			1649		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/26/2007	' PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/734,472	CHARETTE, MARC F.
Examiner	Art Unit
Chang-Yu Wang	1649

	Chang-Yu Wang	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	ng date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	**	120(-)d the	4
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Aireale Aladaanaandaa	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	iowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-32,34-38,43,44,46,48 and 51-53</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:		ANET L. ANDRES	
	J	MANET L. ANDRES	7 ************************************
	SUPERV	SORY PATENT EX	AMINEN

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amended claims 27, 46, 48 and new claim 53 require additional search to analyze whether the claims are anticipated or obvious over the prior art. The amended claims are not entered because the newly added limitation of hippocampal damage caused by permanent or transient global ischemia in claim 27 and the deletion of the limitation of ethanol, senility in claim 48 require additional search and analyses to determine whether they are anticipate/obvious over the prior art.

The lined-through references listed in the IDS filed February 12, 2004 have not been considered because they cannot be found in the parent application 09/012846.

The requirement of a new oath/declaration is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment.

The objection to the specification as introducing new matter into the disclosure is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment. The rejection of claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the written description requirement due to new matter is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment to the claim.

The rejection of claims 27-38, 43-44, 46, 48 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the specification does not enable the invention commensurate in scope with the claims is maintained for reasons of record in the previous office action. The rejection is also applied to new claims 51-53. Applicant argues that the amended claims are enabled because the in vitro data in the instant disclosure can predict the effects on reducing memory dysfunction associated with damaged hippocampal tissue in a mammal in vivo. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Based on the disclosure and prior art, Applicant is enabled for a method of enhancing synaptogenesis for neuronal survival in the hippocampus in vivo by administration of OP-1 to a subject. However, Applicant is not enabled for a method of reducing memory dysfunction associated with damaged hippocampal tissue since memory function is complex and is involved in more than neural survival and dendritic development. To restore memory function requires reconnecting the damaged neurons and reestablishing synaptic plasticity that are involved in memory dysfunction and cognitive function of the brain. Since the neuronal connection and synaptic plasticity that are involved in memory dysfunction are unclear, it is unpredictable whether administration of OP-1 would repair all the molecular elements that are damaged in memory dysfunction. Applicant fails to demonstrate that administration of OP-1 or related fragments as recited in the claims to a patient or animal suffering from memory dysfunction associated with damaged hippocampal tissue would reconnect all the damaged neurons and reestablish all the synaptic plasticity that are involved in memory dysfunction and cognitive function of the brain. It is also unpredictable whether intraventricular administration of OP-1 at any concentration in the brain would achieve a balance of different morphogens that can enhance synapse formation and establishing synaptic plasticity that are required for reducing memory dysfunction since axonal guidance and synapse formation require a balance of concentration of different morphogens.

The rejection of claims 27-32, 34-38, 43-44, 46, 48 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6723698 (Rueger et al. issued on April 20, 2004, effective filling date September 25, 1997) is maintained for reasons of record in the previous office action. The rejection is also applied to new claims 51-53 because new claims recite limitations of malnutrition, metabolic disorder and anorexia are within the scope of the original claims.

Applicant argues that US6723698 does not teach new limitation of hippocampal damage caused by permanent or transient global ischemia. '698 does not teach biocompatible microspheres, other neurotoxin, maltnutrition or metabolic disorders. '698 teaches intraventricular administration as in claim 43 (see col. 20, lines 20-25) and biocompatible microspheres as in claim 44 (see col. 21, lines 5-25). '698 also teaches administration of OP-1 to prevent neuronal cell death caused by ischemia as in claims 40-41 (col.36 example 11), traumatic brain injury as in claim 42 (col. 53, example 20), mechanical/chemical trauma/ neurotoxin including ethanol as in claims 39, 45-46 (see col. 30, example 6), malnutrition, metabolic disorders as in claims 48, 51 and 52 (col.1, lines 42-50) and anorexia in claim 53 would consequently result in malnutrition and metabolic disorder as in claims 48, 51 and 52.

The rejection of claims 27-32, 34-38, 43-44, 46, 48 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6723698 (Rueger et al. issued on April 20, 2004, effective filling date September 25, 1997) in view of Kern et al. (Neurotoxicity. 1993. 14: 319-27) is maintained for reasons of record in the previous office action and the reasons as set forth above. The rejection is also applied to new claims 51-53 because new claims recite limitations of malnutrition, metabolic disorder and anorexia are within the scope of the original claims.